



# Plans for International Scoping Study: Machine Working Group

Michael S. Zisman  
Center for Beam Physics  
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

NFMCC Friday Meeting  
August 12, 2005



# Introduction



- Phase 1 of ISS: study alternative configurations to arrive at baseline specifications for a system to pursue further
  - Proton Driver, Target, Capture Section, Decay Section
  - Bunching, Phase Rotation, Cooling
    - no-cooling option will also be examined
  - Acceleration
  - Storage Ring
- Goal is to complete this work within 6 months
  - then reach consensus on which option(s) to pursue further
- Remaining ISS work will focus on selected option
  - as prelude to subsequent World Design Study
  - develop R&D list as we proceed



# Proton Driver/Target /Capture/Decay (1)



- Optimum beam energy
  - depends on choice of target
    - consider C, Ni, Ta, Hg
- Optimum repetition rate
  - depends on target and downstream RF systems
- Bunch length trade-offs (1 vs. 3 ns)
  - need (and approaches) for bunch compression
  - performance implications for downstream systems
- Hardware options
  - FFAG, linac, synchrotron
    - compare performance, cost



# Proton Driver/Target /Capture/Decay (2)



- **Optimum target material**
  - solid or liquid
    - low, medium, or high  $Z$
- **Intensity limitations**
  - from target
  - from accelerator issues
    - e.g., due to 1 ns bunches, injection limits, or activation limits
- **Superbeam vs. Neutrino Factory trade-offs**
  - required emittance and focusing
  - horn vs. solenoid capture
    - energy range of interest
  - choice of target material



# Bunching/Phase Rotation /Cooling (1)



- Practical accelerating gradient and cost per GeV at several frequencies (5, 88, 201 MHz)
  - include power sources as well as cavities
- Compare performance of existing schemes (KEK, CERN, U.S.-FS 2b)
  - use common proton driver and target configuration(s)
  - evaluate costs (top-down)
  - consider possibility of both signs simultaneously

# Bunching/Phase Rotation /Cooling (2)

- Evaluate trade-offs between cooling efficacy and downstream acceptance
  - consider several values of downstream acceptance (longitudinal and transverse)
  - develop agreed-upon figure-of-merit (e.g.,  $\mu/P_{\text{prot}}$ )
  - consider need/merits of longitudinal cooling
  - identify cost-effective schemes (top-down)
- Evaluate performance issues and limitations
  - absorbers ( $\text{LH}_2$ ,  $\text{LiH}$ ,  $\text{Be}$  or plastic)
    - consider implications of both sign muons
  - RF gradient
  - magnetic field requirements

# Acceleration

- **Compare different schemes**
  - RLA, scaling FFAG, non-scaling FFAG
    - consider implications of keeping both sign muons
- **Define realistic spacing between cavities and adjacent magnets**
- **Evaluate acceleration system cost vs. acceptance**
  - transverse and longitudinal
  - identify main cost drivers
- **Consider matching from upstream system and into downstream ring**

# Storage Ring

- Implications of final energy (20 vs. 50 GeV)
- Optics requirements vs. beam emittance
  - injection and decay straight section
  - arcs
- Implications of two simultaneous baselines
- Implications of keeping both sign muons
- Radiation issues at  $10^{21}$  useful neutrinos per year
  - liner vs. open-midplane magnets
- Cost implications of design



# Detector



- **Not our responsibility...but**
  - need to understand cost trade-offs of higher neutrino intensity vs. bigger detector
  - need to understand issues related to simultaneous use of both sign muons

# Organization

- **Selected lieutenants to serve as “Machine Council”**
  - Fernow (BNL), Garoby (CERN), Mori (Kyoto), Palmer (BNL), Prior (RAL)
- **Picking topic leaders in consultation with Council**
  - need lists of all potential “workers” too
  - would like help from NFMCC
- **Strawman organizer names**
  - Driver: Garoby, TBD, Mori, Prior
  - Target: TBD, TBD
  - Phase rotation/Bunching/Cooling: Fernow, TBD
  - Acceleration: TBD, Mori, Prior
  - Storage Ring: TBD, TBD, TBD

# Proposed Meeting Schedule

- Three plenary meetings before NuFact06
  - September 22-24, 2005, January 23-25, 2006, April 2006
    - CERN, Japan (KEK, Kyoto, or Osaka), RAL (in conjunction with BENE meeting)
- Final meeting a day or two before NuFact06
  - August 21-22, '06
- Goal is to complete Phase 1 of Machine study by January '06 meeting

# Summary

- Challenge is to try to reach consensus on a single optimized Neutrino Factory scheme
  - if we can do this ourselves, without requiring an uninvolved panel of “wise persons” to do it for us, we have truly accomplished a lot as an international community
- Even if we don't quite succeed in selecting a single design, whatever convergence we attain will improve the probability of having a future international facility
- Developing optimal design requires an adequately-funded accelerator R&D program
  - we need to articulate this need and define the ingredients of the program