

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Fermilab Assessment Manual – Chapter 4 Independent Assessment Procedure – Form 1	<b>NUMBER:</b>	3902.1004 FORM 1
<b>RESPONSIBILITY:</b>	Quality Assurance Manager	<b>REVISION:</b>	000 C1
<b>APPROVED BY:</b>	Head, Office of Quality and Best Practices	<b>EFFECTIVE:</b>	

### FERMILAB INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT REPORT FORM

<b>Fermilab Independent Assessment Report</b>	
<b>Assessment Number &amp; Title:</b> 11-IA-QA-001 TD Suspect/Counterfeit Item (S/CI) and Inspection and Acceptance Testing	<b>Version:003</b>
<b>Date(s) of Assessment:</b> 10/18/10 – 10/29/10	
<b>Performing Organization:</b> Office of Quality & Best Practices (OQBP)	
<b>Assessed Organization(s):</b> Technical Division(TD): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quality &amp; Materials (Q&amp;M)</li> <li>• Test &amp; Instrumentation (T&amp;I)</li> <li>• Magnet Systems</li> <li>• Superconductivity and Radio Frequency Development (SRF)</li> </ul>	
<b>Report content</b>  This report contains the following sections: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assessment Activities &amp; Scope</li> <li>• Scope Limitations</li> <li>• Activities Reviewed Within This Assessment</li> <li>• Description of Implementation &amp; Effectiveness of Observed Activities</li> <li>• Conclusions</li> <li>• Findings</li> <li>• Observations &amp; Recommendations</li> <li>• Commendable Practices</li> </ul> <p><b>Assessment Activities &amp; Scope:</b></p> <p>The implementation &amp; effectiveness of Inspection and Acceptance Test and S/CI controls applied to the TD Departments listed above were examined via interview, document review and observation relative to the Integrated Quality Assurance (IQA) and Fermilab Integrated Contractor Assurance Program (FICAP).</p> <p><b>Scope Limitations:</b></p> <p>The scope of this assessment was limited to those activities or services associated with S/CI and Inspection and Acceptance Testing within Fermilab’s Technical Division. Procurement activities and IQA section 8.5 “Control of Measuring and Test Equipment (M&amp;TE)” were not within the scope of this assessment.</p> <p><b>Activities Reviewed Within this Assessment:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Creation of Device Assembly Travelers</li> <li>• Cavity Incoming Inspection and Acceptance Testing               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Visual Inspection</li> <li>○ Mechanical Measurements</li> <li>○ Vacuum and Leak Test</li> <li>○ RF Test</li> </ul> </li> <li>• In-Process SRF Cavity Testing on Existing VTS1 Test Stand</li> </ul>	

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Fermilab Assessment Manual – Chapter 4 Independent Assessment Procedure – Form 1	<b>NUMBER:</b>	3902.1004 FORM 1
<b>RESPONSIBILITY:</b>	Quality Assurance Manager	<b>REVISION:</b>	000 C1
<b>APPROVED BY:</b>	Head, Office of Quality and Best Practices	<b>EFFECTIVE:</b>	

- Cavity Parameters and Data Analysis
- Design and Construction of New Test Stands VTS2 and VTS3
- Incoming Parts Inspection
- Incoming Superconducting Magnet Inspection and Test
- In-process Super Conducting Inspection and Acceptance Test
- Superconducting Magnet Test Result Collection and Data Processing
- Test requirements Design for R&D and Production Magnets
- Incoming Conventional Magnet Test and Inspection
- In-Process Testing of Conventional Magnets
- Control of Nonconforming Items
- S/CI Coordinator Activities
- Control of S/CI Activities

**Description of Implementation & Effectiveness of Observed Activities:**

Inspection and Acceptance Testing:

The requirements for Inspection and Acceptance Testing found in IQA Chapter 8 are met and effectively implemented within the TD organizations assessed. Although no evidence of noncompliance within the selected IQA criteria was observed, a number of observations and commendable practices were identified.

Roles and responsibilities of personnel involved in test and inspection are documented in TD's Quality Management Program (File 01), and Project Management of Accelerator Support Jobs TD-2050 (File 02). Interviews with a customer liaison, Process Engineering Group members and Product and Project Engineers indicated that these roles are well defined and understood. The customer liaison ensures that effective communication is established between TD and customers and documents communications, technical specifications and other requirements. Most current requests are for building newly designed devices and/or, rebuilding / refurbishing existing magnets. The complexity of these requirements varies based on the extent of initial design, design modification, rebuild or refurbish work. These requirements and design specifications are communicated to the Process Engineering Group, who works with production and engineering to create and maintain Travelers (either paper-based or in the electronic traveler system, Vector) and Part Kits for each fabrication project. The Project Engineer provides detailed design drawings and technical requirements and conducts design reviews.

Work activities were observed and 82 documents examined during this assessment. TD inspection and acceptance test processes are well defined. Test Process Description (File 03), Cavity Inspection Document (File 04) and 9-cell coordinate measuring machine (CMM) measurement (File 05) are examples of these processes.

Product performance expectations, inspections, and tests are considered during the design phase, and where appropriate, are specified in the design outputs such as magnet specification documents or Travelers. The Assembly Traveler constitutes the Test Plan and contains inspection requirements and procedures such as Tooling Lists, Initial Device Under Test (DUT) Preparation and Item Characteristics. The travelers usually are accompanied with other technical requirement documents such as Engineering Specifications and Fabrication Component Kit List, Shim Plan and Coil Arrangement, and Ground Wrap System.

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Fermilab Assessment Manual – Chapter 4 Independent Assessment Procedure – Form 1	<b>NUMBER:</b>	3902.1004 FORM 1
<b>RESPONSIBILITY:</b>	Quality Assurance Manager	<b>REVISION:</b>	000 C1
<b>APPROVED BY:</b>	Head, Office of Quality and Best Practices	<b>EFFECTIVE:</b>	

Ten Assembly Travelers reviewed were fully compliant with specified their test requirements. The US-LHC Accelerator Research Program (LARP) Long Quadrupole Coil Assembly Traveler (TR - 333879) is an example of this compliance for a Superconducting R&D magnet. This traveler includes an Engineering Fabrication component Kit List (File 06), and a Traveler Revision Report (TRR) (File 07). The assessment team reviewed a Shim Plan, Shim System for TQM04 (using Coil 35) (File 08), which indicates the locations and type of shims needed to be used to build a magnet, and a Coil Arrangement and Ground Wrap System which contains the required Engineering Specifications (File 09). These documents were given to the Technician for test and inspection of the magnet in production.

The observation of work and review of documentation for Conventional Magnet, NOvA MLAW 8GeV Recycler Lambertson Coil Winding/Insulation Traveler (TR 333968) (File 10) and 1.3 GHz Cavity Visual Inspection Traveler (TR 333876) (File 11) confirmed the same observation.

The assessment team observed a sufficient number of inspections in each Traveler. As the need for regular or hold point inspection is identified they are included in a Traveler. Hold point inspections are approved by authorized personnel. Independent inspections are specified where necessary.

Clear inspection and acceptance criteria for test and inspection of production magnets were observed. Formal pass/fail criteria are not applied to data collected from tests of prototype or R&D activities.

Test results are saved in several databases. The results from prototype and R&D testing are used for writing Technical Papers and Fabrication Reports (File 12) and (File 13).

The assessment team observed appropriate corrective and/or preventative actions taken where deficiencies were identified. In TD, identified nonconforming items are documented in Discrepancy Reports (DR) or Quality Control Reports (QCR). DR procedures are described in Discrepancy Reporting System TD-2040 (File 14). DRs are initiated by a First Hand Observer and maintained on-line. They contain the description and cause of the discrepancy, item disposition (reject, repair, rework, use-as-is), a section for a Corrective and Preventive Action Plan and sections for Verification, Review, Archiving and Closure for tracking to completion.

QCRs contain the type of discrepancy, individual part information such as part number, part name, purchase order number and receiving date. They also include the discrepancy details and final disposition with the signatures of appropriate personnel such as the requisitioner, product/engineering manger and quality control (File 15). After inspection, failed or accepted items are tagged accordingly to identify the status of the items and prevent inadvertent use of failed items (File 16).

TD's inspection and test results are documented and preserved. Inspection and test records identify the tester/inspector's name, test stand or test bed and its configuration, DUT and the date that test or inspection was performed (File 06, 11, 12 & 17).

Suspect Counterfeit Items:

The requirements for prohibiting and controlling S/CIs found in IQA Chapter 10 are met within the assessed TD organizations. The assessment found no non-compliance however, because no Suspect/Counterfeit Items

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Fermilab Assessment Manual – Chapter 4 Independent Assessment Procedure – Form 1	<b>NUMBER:</b>	3902.1004 FORM 1
<b>RESPONSIBILITY:</b>	Quality Assurance Manager	<b>REVISION:</b>	000 C1
<b>APPROVED BY:</b>	Head, Office of Quality and Best Practices	<b>EFFECTIVE:</b>	

have been discovered by TD personnel since Suspect/Counterfeit Item (S/CI) Program, 1006, and Controlling Suspect/Counterfeit Items Procedure, 1006.1001, became effective on 3/19/10, the assessment team was not able to fully verify adherence to these requirements.

Personnel interviewed were aware of the S/CI Coordinator’s role and responsibilities and the S/CI reporting process. The S/CI coordinators had the required S/CI knowledge and had received the new S/CI tags. The older S/CI items were tagged using older S/CI metal and paper tags. All of the incoming inspection team members had S/CI training.

The incoming inspection team and other staff were aware of the risks associated with S/CI. To avoid incoming S/CI, the incoming inspectors had checklists and drawings. The assessment team verified the accuracy of the S/CI bolts checklists (File 18). DR 4641 (File 19), suspect bolts installed on the 30-ton trolley, dated 10/20/2008 and DR 10057, suspect bolts in a coil winding table purchased in May of 1984, dated 1/28/2010 (File 20). Both documents indicated the effectiveness of TD in detection, reporting and controlling nonconforming bolts.

For other S/CI items DR 10011, two Liftall slings which had “China” on the shackles found in 5/29/2009 (File 21) and DR 4642 shackle on an assembly found in 2/19/2009 (also File 22) were reviewed. These DRs show TD full compliance with the IQA requirements.

**Conclusions:**

The assessed TD organizations employ effective systems of inspection and acceptance testing to verify that the physical and functional aspects of items meet requirements and are fit for use.

Suspect/Counterfeit Items requirements are compliant and have been successfully implemented within TD organizations assessed. TD personnel interviewed were aware of S/CI procedures and compliant with the IQA requirements. However, because no Suspect/Counterfeit Items have been discovered by TD personnel since implementation of the Suspect/Counterfeit Item (S/CI) Program, 1006, or Controlling Suspect/Counterfeit Items Procedure, 1006.1001, the assessment team was not able to fully verify adherence to these requirements.

The assessment team found no instances of noncompliance and our observations indicated a high level of compliance to the selected quality system requirements. Five observations and four commendable practices were identified as listed below.

**Findings:**

None

**Observations and Recommendations:**

1. **Observation:** The TD-2010, “Technical Division Quality Management Program” document, posted on the TD website, is dated 4/3/2001 and does not reflect the current organizational changes. For example this document refers to Development and Test (DT) department. This department name is no longer used.  
**Recommendation:** Update TD-2010 and ensure that documents contain the most current information.

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Fermilab Assessment Manual – Chapter 4 Independent Assessment Procedure – Form 1	<b>NUMBER:</b>	3902.1004 FORM 1
<b>RESPONSIBILITY:</b>	Quality Assurance Manager	<b>REVISION:</b>	000 C1
<b>APPROVED BY:</b>	Head, Office of Quality and Best Practices	<b>EFFECTIVE:</b>	

**2. Observation :** The TD Discrepancy Reporting System TD-2040 Version 3, page 7 , section 9-10a Corrective Action table, indicates “...If the Responsible Authority decides that there is no appropriate corrective action, then “N/A” should be recorded, along with an explanation as to why no corrective action is required.” Out of 10 DRs observed 3 DRs (DR 10037, 10036 and 10015) had no explanation as to why no corrective action was required.

**Recommendation:** Communicate the value of the adding the explanation to the corrective action section when NA is applied to the responsible authorities so they understand. Consider adding the explanation as a requirement.

**3. Observation:** The magnet test group in the T&I department utilizes several Data Acquisition Systems (DAQ). Operation and support of these systems reduce productivity and increase the need for many subject matter experts. Some of these systems use old and outdated hardware which is no longer available for purchase.

**Recommendation:** Consider allocating resources to update this equipment to improve productivity and down time.

**4. Observation:** The T&I department collects data in several databases some of which are not easily accessible to the others when needed.

**Recommendation:** Consider allocating resources to invest in a common database in order to reduce maintenance and make data access easier.

**5. Observation:** TD’s computer network is fragmented. Test teams need to access several servers and network elements using different applications and interfaces.

**Recommendation:** Consider allocating resources to invest in a connected and more robust network that may be less expensive and easier to access and operate.

**Commendable Practices:**

1. The use of WebDat to store, access and query data is a very effective tool. Its interface through the internet makes it easy to use and accessible.
2. The Locks in the e-log system used by the T&I department is an effective way to document and communicate changes made to the test configurations. This tool shows the history of modifications and deviations from the standard configuration of the test stands.
3. The Vector Traveler system provides easy access to systematically maintain documents and information.
4. The T&I Department Improvement Plan for Magnet and Cavity Test Facilities analyzes different risks and opportunities associated with the state of test facility systems. This provides clarity in strategic planning and the decision making process.

**Titles and Names of Person Interviewed:**

Ted Beale  
 Jamie Blowers  
 Rodger Bossert  
 Ruben Carcagno  
 Mark Champion  
 Bob Jensen  
 Timergali Khabiboulline

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Fermilab Assessment Manual – Chapter 4 Independent Assessment Procedure – Form 1	<b>NUMBER:</b>	3902.1004 FORM 1
<b>RESPONSIBILITY:</b>	Quality Assurance Manager	<b>REVISION:</b>	000 C1
<b>APPROVED BY:</b>	Head, Office of Quality and Best Practices	<b>EFFECTIVE:</b>	

<p>Min Jeong Kim Mike Lamm Jerzy Nogiec Darryl Orris Joe Ozelis Derek Plant Rob Riley Dan Smith Pat Sanchez Cosmore Sylvester Jan Szal George Velev Dana Walbridge Marty Whitson</p>
<p><b>Documents Reviewed:</b></p> <p>TID-N-75: Procedure to Authorize Personnel for IB1 SRF Cavity Handling TID-N-76: Procedure for Preparing SRF Cavities for Testing T&amp;I controlled document template TID-N-15: Conduct of IB1 Test Facility Operations TID-N-59: T&amp;I Engineering Work Process Guidelines - v0 TID-N-73: T&amp;I Document Control Policy and Procedures TID-N-93: T&amp;I Department QA Program Description TID-N-16: Guidelines for Working in Industrial Buildings 1 and 1A TID-N-19: Test and Instrumentation Department Records Industrial Buildings 1 and 1A Security TID-N-223: VCTF Cavity Test Procedures PPE requirement for operating panel breakers in IB1/IB1-A TID-N-55: DUT Safety Data Sheet Procedure (Superconducting) TID-N-20: Test Process Description TID-N-92: T&amp;I Organizational Structure TID-N-124: DUT Safety Data Sheet Procedure (Conventional) TID-N-174: VCTF SRF Cavity Handling Training Plan TID-N-242: Procedure for Dressed 9-Cell SRF Cavity Handling at IB1 TID-N-151: T&amp;I Hipot Procedure DRAFT, Cryogenic operating procedure for VTS 100 K hold TID-N-40: T&amp;I Calibration Program TID-N-273: VMTF Magnet Inspection Procedure.doc TID-N-6: Cryogenic Checks for the VMTF Device Under Test - Safety Data Sheets (DUT-SDS) TID-N-276: VTS 100 K Cryogenic Operating Procedures IB1 SRF Cavity Handling TD Discrepancy Report, Fabrication and Test of the MM Nb3 Sn Quadrupole Model Bases on Dipole-type Collar Magnet Test Operations TS-9/MPS-1/2 Authorized Users List Magnet Test Operations TS-6/MPS-1/2 Authorized Users List Magnet Test Operations TS-A/booster Corrector PS Authorized Users List</p>

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Fermilab Assessment Manual – Chapter 4 Independent Assessment Procedure – Form 1	<b>NUMBER:</b>	3902.1004 FORM 1
<b>RESPONSIBILITY:</b>	Quality Assurance Manager	<b>REVISION:</b>	000 C1
<b>APPROVED BY:</b>	Head, Office of Quality and Best Practices	<b>EFFECTIVE:</b>	

<p>Magnet Test Operations TS-3/MPS-1/2 Authorized Users List  Magnet Test Operations TS_2/CPS-1 Authorized Users List  LQS01b CVT WIRING &amp; CHECK OUT FORM  TQC02Eb Coil arrangement and Ground Wrap System  Shim System for TQM04 (USING ALL COILS)  MQXB15 Fabrication Report TD-06-034  VCTF Cavity Test Procedures  VCTF RF/Cavity Test Operations Authorized operators List  T&amp;I Hi-Pot Procedures  TID-N-276 VTS 100 K Cryogenics Operations  Technical Specifications for Welding Titanium Helium Vessels at Fermilab  Procedure for 9-Cell Cavity 120 degree Celsius Bake out  Magnet Test Operations  TID-N-174: VCTF SRF Cavity Handling Training Plan  TID-N-169: VTS Insulating Vacuum Checklist  TID-N-73: T&amp;I Document Control Policy and Procedures  TID-N-168: VTS Cryogenic Operating Procedure  Machine Shop Specification Quality Implementation Plan TD-2100 Version 2  Nine Cell Coordinate Measurement Machine (CMM) measurement traveler 333897  NOvA - MLAW 8GeV Recycler Lambertson Coil Winding/Insulation 333968 Rev. A  TD7010 Working Guidelines Document (Rev. 1.0 )  Energy Doubler, Vacuum Leak Test Specification; General component  Flow of Parts and Assemblies Received at TD Component Storage facility (IB-4) TD-PF001  LARP_LQS01b Magnet Description  CMM print-out 1.3GHZ TESLA rf CAVITY ASSEMBLY MEASUREMENTS  Hins Test Flowchart 4/27/09  Hins Power Leads, Solenoid, and Cryostatted Solenoid Traveler for testing in IB-1  Test and Instrumentation Department Conduct of Operations  TD Organizational Chart  Test and Instrumentation Department Reporting Structure (Effective December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2007)  Tevatron Test Stand 2/6 Operation Procedure  IB1 Test Operations Schedule- FY10  TD ENG FABRICATION COMPONENT KIT LIST  1.3 GHz Cavity Visual Inspection Traveler  TD ENG DRAWING RELEASE  TD-2010 Quality Management Program Version 2  TD-2050 Project Management of Accelerator Support Jobs Version 3  TD-2030 Device Data Management System  TD-2040 Discrepancy Reporting System Version 3</p>
<p><b>Standards, Regulations, and Other Program Requirements Applied:</b></p> <p>The specific criteria applied to this assessment were:  Chapter 8 – Inspection and Acceptance Test (IQA)  Chapter 10 – Suspect and Counterfeit Items (IQA)  Other relevant requirements applicable to this assessment:  1006 Suspect/Counterfeit Items Program  1006.1001 Controlling Suspect/Counterfeit Items Procedure</p>

<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Fermilab Assessment Manual – Chapter 4 Independent Assessment Procedure – Form 1	<b>NUMBER:</b>	3902.1004 FORM 1
<b>RESPONSIBILITY:</b>	Quality Assurance Manager	<b>REVISION:</b>	000 C1
<b>APPROVED BY:</b>	Head, Office of Quality and Best Practices	<b>EFFECTIVE:</b>	

<b>Describe or List Any Other Assessment Methods Used:</b> None	
<b>Corrective Action Plans Issued:</b>	
None	
<b>Assessors' Names (asterisk indicates team leader):</b>	
Susan Rahimpour*	Tim Miller                      John Dawson
<b>Submitted by:</b> Susan Rahimpour	<b>Date:</b> 11/29/10
<b>Distribution:</b>	
Giorgio Apollinari	Jeff Cotton
Jamie Blowers	Bob Grant
Ruben Carcagno	Jed Heyes
Mark Champion	
Mike Lamm	
Jim Rife	
Romesh Sood	
<b>Attachments:</b>	
File 01 - TD-2010 Quality Management Program Version 2	
File 02 - TD-2050 Project management of Accelerator Support Jobs.	
File 03 - TID-N-20: Test Process Description	
File 04 - TID-N-76: Procedure for Preparing SRF Cavities for Testing	
File 05 - Nine Cell Coordinate Measurement Machine (CMM) measurement traveler 333897	
File 06 - US-LHC Accelerator Research Program (LARP) Long Quadrupole Coil Assembly Engineering Fabrication	
File 07 - LARP Long Quadrupole Coil Assembly component Kit List	
File 08 - Shim System for TQM04(using Coil 35)	
File 09 - TQC02Eb Coil Arrangement and Ground Wrap System	
File 10 - NOvA - MLAW 8GeV Recycler Lambertson Coli Winding/Insulation (TR 333968)	
File 11 - Cavities Testing Cavities Vector Traveler 1.3 GHz Cavity Visual Inspection Traveler (TR 333876)	
File 12 - MQXB15 Fabrication Report	
File 13 - Test of 90-mm Nb3Sn Quadrupole Model Based on Dipole-type Collar	
File 14 - TD-2040 Discrepancy Reporting System	
File 15 - Product/Engineering Manger and Quality Control (QCR ) #31237	
File 16 - Tags Sample	
File 17 - Vacuum Leak Test	
File 18 - S/CI Head mark List and Suspect Stainless Steel Fastener head mark List	
File 19 - <a href="http://tdserver1.fnal.gov/blowers/projects/QA/S-CI/PW8_trolley_2008-10/DR_4641.pdf">http://tdserver1.fnal.gov/blowers/projects/QA/S-CI/PW8_trolley_2008-10/DR_4641.pdf</a>	
File 20 - <a href="http://vector-onsite.fnal.gov/Tools/DiscrepancyReport/DisplayDiscrepancyReportReadOnly.asp?qsDRNo=10057">http://vector- onsite.fnal.gov/Tools/DiscrepancyReport/DisplayDiscrepancyReportReadOnly.asp?qsDRNo=10057</a>	
File 21 - <a href="http://vector-onsite.fnal.gov/Tools/DiscrepancyReport/DisplayDiscrepancyReportReadOnly.asp?qsDRNo=10011">http://vector- onsite.fnal.gov/Tools/DiscrepancyReport/DisplayDiscrepancyReportReadOnly.asp?qsDRNo=10011</a>	
File 22 - <a href="http://tdserver1.fnal.gov/blowers/projects/QA/S-CI/Shackles_2009-02-19/DR_4642.pdf">http://tdserver1.fnal.gov/blowers/projects/QA/S-CI/Shackles_2009-02-19/DR_4642.pdf</a>	