



NOvA Project Status

John Cooper
Working Group Meeting
January 4, 2006



R&D, PED, LLP, Construction Table

NOVA Funding Profile

(in FY05 \$)

Fund Type	Year							Sum
	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY11	FY12	FY13	
R&D M&S (\$M)	1.93 ^a	2.00 ^b	0.50 ^c	-	-	-	-	4.43
R&D SWF (\$M)	2.80 ^a	2.80 ^b	-	-	-	-	-	5.60
PED (\$M)	-	5.00 ^d	2.50 ^e	-	-	-	-	7.50
LLP (\$M)	-	7.00 ^f	- ^g	-	-	-	-	7.00
Construction (\$M)	-	-	20.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	10.00	180.00
Total by FY:	4.73	16.80	23.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	10.00	Total: 204.53

Notes:

a R&D funds in FY06 used for _____
 need to get estimates of SWF -- SWF estimates for FY06,07 based on FTE estimate done for EPP2010 in 9/2005

b R&D funds in FY07 used for _____

c R&D funds in FY08 used to complete Integration Prototype Near Detector

d PED funds in FY07 are used for final building design and value management studies

Final Site Access Road design effort estimate at \$ 0.80 M

Final Building design effort estimated at \$ 2.43 M

Final Outfitting, Site Logistics, & Management design effort estimated at \$ 0.82 M

above 3 total to \$ 4.05 M

Value Management Studies estimated at \$ M from list below

Study #1:

e PED funds in FY08 are used for

f LLP funds in FY07 are used for access road construction & site clearing starting ~ April, 2007

This builds a 3.6 mile access road from the Ash River Trail to the NOVA site.

These funds would be in the form of a grant/cooperative agreement to the University of Minnesota

12/21 estimate is \$ 5.13 M without indirects

g no LLP possible in FY08



Status of NOvARFPs

Request for Quote Status

1/3/2006

Subject	vendor discussions	draft RFP	req in system	final approved RFP	RFPs sent	# sent to	Date for Response	# Responses	Evaluation Board
Extrusions (we provide resin)	PET, Sept 15	12-Aug	~ Aug 1	vers 1, Aug	26-Aug	10	23-Sep	3	26-Sep
	Extrutech, June			vers 2, Oct 7	10-Oct	11	16-Nov	3	21-Nov
	Itasca, Sept 6								Extrutech chosen
mixed scintillator	Biran, Nov 7 Eljen, Nov 8	17-Oct	21-Oct	20-Dec	22-Dec	2	7-Feb		
scintillator fluor mix (must mix with mineral oil, perhaps also with more pseudocumene)	Biran, Nov 7 Eljen, Nov 8 Curtis Labs, Aug 25	20-Oct	21-Oct	20-Dec	22-Dec	3	31-Jan		
mineral oil (Technical grade)	Penreco, Oct 25	6-Oct	21-Oct	10-Nov	11-Nov	10	14-Dec	2 still testing	16-Dec
mineral oil (Industrial NF)		18-Nov	28-Nov	28-Nov	on hold				
pseudocumene	Dixie Chemical, long ago but ... Flint Hills, Nov 10 but ...	17-Oct	21-Oct	12-Dec	15-Dec	13	20-Jan		
waveshifters	Curtis Labs, Aug 25	20-Oct	21-Oct	18-Nov	28-Nov	9	29-Dec	1	
ISO tanks, mixing	EXSIF, Nov 15 Superior Carriers, Dec 20								
waveshifting fiber	Kuraray, Oct 31 Biran, Nov 3 Polhitech, no longer exists - Protvino, no contact	17-Oct	21-Oct	18-Nov	22-Nov	2	23-Dec 13-Jan		

Status of RFIs

APDs	Hamamatsu, Aug 9	11-Nov	xxx	17-Nov	17-Nov	1	16-Dec	1 "guess" on 11/29
raw PVC, decided 19-Dec to do RFI	Prime, Oct 17 Ashland (Georgia-Gulf), Sept 8, Nov 7 Aurora, Clairant, Aug 23	6-Dec	xxx	21-Dec	22-Dec	5	23-Jan	



Where are we on Cost & Schedule?

Status of NOvA Cost & Schedule

red= changes in last 2 weeks

1/3/2006

	Initial talks with Bill?	List of Tasks	Durations of Tasks	Relationships among tasks	Assign labor resources	Assign M&S \$ Resources	Add contingency	Provide L3 descriptions	Provide Task Notes
WBS									
Site and Buildings	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	x
Scintillator	X	x	x	x				X	
Fiber	X	x						X	
Extrusions	X	x	x	x				X	
Extrusion Modules	X	x		x	x	x		X	
Electronics	X	x	x	x				X	x
DAQ	X	X	X	X				X	x
Near Assembly	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	x
Far Assembly	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	x

Bill's opinion on estimated time to finish: _____

Concentrating on Fiber, Extrusion Modules this week & next



Other Items

- CDR –
 - Need one more iteration from Gary on Scientific Requirements,
 - then ~ 125 pages ready for distribution in 1st draft, will broadcast
 - Estimating ~ 200 pages total when finished
- No Change from Dec 21:
 - Requirements Documents
 - Basis of Estimate
 - Preliminary Hazard Analysis
- **Structure Problem**
 - **No additional info**
 - **Recall on Dec 21 had tentative Safety Factor of 5.6** – up from 1.25
 - **Engineering Review of conceptual design on Jan 10**
 - Rich Stanek (chair), Tom Nicol, Russ Rucinski, Charlie Cooper, Pat Hurh



Update on Ash River / Orr Buyck

- **It's an easy choice**

- Event rates, $\sin^2(2\theta_{13})$ FoM almost identical
- **But mass hierarchy is VERY different**
 - Orr-Buyck would require an additional 12 kt to match Ash River
 - Hard for statistics to untangle 2 solutions, matter effect more powerful
- Based on cost drivers
 - Ash River access ~ \$ 1.4 M more
 - Orr-Buyck detector ~ of order \$ 50 M more

95% CL Resolution of the Mass Ordering

