

## Master Planning Task Force

June 3, 2013

### *Members (P= Present, A=Absent)*

---

<b>A</b> Pier Oddone	<b>P</b> Jack Anderson	<b>A</b> Steve Holmes	<b>P</b> Greg Bock
<b>P</b> Vicky White	<b>P</b> Bob Kephart	<b>A</b> Giorgio Apollinari	<b>P</b> Mike Lindgren
<b>A</b> Roger Dixon	<b>A</b> Steve Wiesenthal	<b>P</b> Randy Ortgiesen	<b>P</b> Young-Kee Kim
<b>A</b> Patricia McBride	<b>P</b> Paul Czarapata	<b>P</b> Stuart Henderson	<b>P</b> Katie Yurkewicz
<b>A</b> Carl Strawbridge	<b>P</b> John Bakken	<b>P</b> Rob Roser	<b>P</b> Gary VanZandbergen

### *Guests*

---

R. Lewis

#### **A. Master Plan update**

G. VanZandbergen reviewed the document on the display screen. The following comments were made by the MPTF members.

- Some point regarding terminology was made. The use of the word divisions should not be used in some contexts noted as it may convey the wrong message. These changes will be made to the document
- The issue of parking was discussed. The Campus Plan design team needs to ensure that they have reasonable responses to these issues, as it is important to communicate that the situation will be adequate.
- V. White questioned whether the emphasis on modernization was diminished as the word was not used as prominently as it had been in earlier iterations of the planning principles and vision. G. VanZandbergen stated that the terms “state of the art” has generally replaces the word modern, as it seems more broadly understood and communicative of the goals.
- J. Bakken mentioned that he was still concerned about the proposed roadway from IER West working against the idea of pedestrianization of the central campus. It requires all ground level pedestrian to still cross a street. The design team will study that issue further in the next iterations of the design development of the projects.

#### **B. Space Planning Task Force**

YKK led a short discussion on the space planning task force comprised of R. Ortgiesen and M. Lindgren.

- M. Lindgren stated that we need to understand what the span of goals is. We have an opportunity with movement of staff from Wilson Hall into IARC.
- M. Lindgren stated that study should include the second, third and fifteenth floor as they are awkwardly and inefficiently utilized.
- M. Lindgren also stated that we need to consider the future of the library, as it will be used in different ways in the future, and may not be most appropriate in its location of space usage.
- The space planning task force needs to empathize strategic thinking.

### **C. CDF Control Room Display Opportunities**

J. Lewis gave a presentation on the possibilities of how and where to develop a display of the CDF control room now that it is decommissioning. Discussion followed on the following points:

- Since the room will be demolished for ORKA, how could we translate the idea and components to another forum?
- J. Lewis indicated that the docents were enthusiastic about the display.
- Resources to fund the display have not been identified.
- The ideal location would be near LSEC or WH so as to be easily integrated into a tour.
- What would be the concept of the tour experience?
- One possible location posed by J. Lewis was the ground floor east side of Wilson Hall, the current location of the T and M Offices and the training room.
- A location presented by the MPTF was at D Zero. This seems workable functionally, but the distance from LSEC would be a impediment due to travel time and arrangements.
- General discussion took place as to what should be preserved from the CDF control room.
- It was agreed that this is an important part of the story of Fermilab and should be retained. However, as a location is unclear and funding source unknown, the equipment should be packed and preserved. Ongoing thinking should take place as to how and where this display could happen.

### **C. Next Meeting**

The next meeting is not scheduled.